

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: [REDACTED]

Date of Complaint: 2024-10-18

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service:

Referred to Other Service:

Retained by LECA:

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The complainant attended the [REDACTED] to file a report of criminal harassment from an ex-girlfriend.

The complainant alleged that the officer who assisted him during the process authored an inaccurate and disparaging police report and failed to conduct a proper investigation.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

10 -conduct that undermines the public trust
19 - neglects to do duty

Decision and Reasons

The PSB investigator reviewed the attached documents and police report and concurred with the respondent officer in that there were no reasonable grounds to proceed with a charge of criminal harassment. The officer conducted a proper investigation and her police report was not deceitful nor disparaging towards the complainant.

The investigator also found that the officer was acting in the performance of their duties, and with prudence, when they made a decision to forward their report to Crisis Response Team (CRT) for their review and consideration.

Based on the above findings, the investigator determined that there was insufficient evidence to establish misconduct. Therefore, the allegation is unsubstantiated.