DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 11/20/2024

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

1) The complainant reported that the respondent officers entered and searched the complainant's home and computer without authority and turned off their BWC during the investigation. The complainant alleges that another respondent officer did not provide the full names of the original officers involved.

2) The complainant reported that the respondent officers failed to adequacy investigate the complaint.

Ontario

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Allegation 1 Conduct Undermines Public Trust Section 10

Allegation 2 Neglects to do duty Section 19

Decision and Reasons

Allegation 1 Conduct Undermines Public Trust - The investigation revealed that the respondent officers were in lawful execution of their duty and at no time searched the complainants residence/computer without authority. The other respondent officer did provide officers rank, last name, and badge number when asked. The respondent officer's body worn camera's were reviewed and as a result the complaint was unsubstantiated.

Allegation 2 Neglects to do duty Section - The investigation revealed that the respondent officers completed the investigation as per department policy/procedure and without bias. The respondent officer's body worn camera's were reviewed and as a result the complaint was unsubstantiated.