

**DISCLAIMER:** In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

## DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:



Date of Complaint: 07/10/2024

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service:

Referred to Other Service:

Retained by LECA:

Service Investigations Referred to:

### De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant alleged that the Respondent Officer attempted to intimidate her by threatening to proceed with criminal charges.

## Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Allegation #1 – Undermine Public Trust S.10 - in that he conducted himself in a manner that undermined or was likely to undermine, public trust in policing, contrary to Section 10 of the Code of Conduct of Ontario Regulation 407/23 and therefore, contrary to Section 195(a) of the Community Safety Policing Act.

Allegation #2 - Performance of Duties S.19 - in that he failed to perform his duties appropriately without lawful excuse if, at the time, they know or reasonably ought to know that their act or omission would amount to a failure to perform their duties appropriately, contrary to Section 10 of the Code of Conduct of Ontario Regulation 407/23 and therefore, contrary to Section 195(a) of

## Decision and Reasons

This investigation has revealed the officer was in the lawful performance of his duties and acted in accordance with all governing authorities.

The investigation determined that the Respondent Officer did not threaten the Complainant with criminal charges or being incarcerated, or otherwise attempt to intimidate the Complainant. The allegation is unsubstantiated.

The investigation determined that the Respondent Officer did in fact investigate Police Officer 1's involvement in the complaint filed by the Complainant and addressed it in their report of investigation. The allegation is unsubstantiated.