

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 06/05/2024

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service:

Referred to Other Service:

Retained by LECA:

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The complainant advised that he witnessed an officer strike a civilian after he was subdued. The same officer has also harassed the complainant and has not properly recorded any information pertaining to their interaction and investigation with the complainant.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

O/Reg 407/23: Code of Conduct for Police Officers

Allegation 1: Section 10 - Conduct Undermines Public Trust

Allegation 2: Section 20 - Fails to Take Notes

Allegation 3: Section 11 - Unnecessary Force

Decision and Reasons

Allegation 1 - Conduct Undermines Public Trust

It was determined that the respondent officer's only interaction with the complainant was lawful. This was corroborated through a police report that detailed the justification of the interaction.

Allegation 2 - Fails to Take Notes

It was determined that the only interaction the respondent officer had with the complainant was properly recorded in the officer's notebook, adhering to the applicable procedure. This was corroborated by the review of the respondent officer's notes.

Allegation 3 - Unnecessary Force

It was determined that the respondent officer did not have any physical interaction with the civilian that was arrested. This was corroborated through independent video surveillance that was reviewed.