

**DISCLAIMER:** In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

## DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: [REDACTED]

Date of Complaint: June 12, 2024

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☒

Referred to Other Service: ☐

Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

### De-identified Summary of Complaint

The complainant alleged that during a traffic stop, the officer made an illegal U-turn and drove onto the sidewalk.

The complainant alleged that officer made false claims and issued him a ticket for unnecessary noise.

The complainant alleged that the officer conducted the traffic stop without emergency lighths or a siren.

**Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations****Section 10 - Undermines public trust in policing****Decision and Reasons**

The facts involved in the traffic stop are disputed and it is understood that the opinion and perceptions of the officer and the complainant differ. In normal circumstances, the complainant would have had the opportunity to present his version of events in provincial offences court; however, in this situation the ticket was void and he did not have that opportunity. In any event, the officer's observations provided him with reasonable and probable grounds to conduct the traffic stop and issue the offence notice.

While it remains disputed whether the complainant's vehicle created unnecessary noise when he accelerated, the in-car-camera footage confirmed that the officer did not make an illegal U-turn putting the safety of pedestrians at risk. Further, the video confirmed that the officer activated his emergency lighting when conducting the traffic stop.

There was no evidence to suggest that misconduct occurred.