DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: Date of Complaint:

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The mother of the Complainant in this matter contacted police in order to report that the Complainant was threatening suicide after barricading herself in a motor vehicle and armed with a knife while the vehicle was running.

The Complainant alleges that the officers used excessive and unnecessary force while she was being apprehended from the vehicle.

Further, the Complainant alleges that officers failed to identify themselves to her after requesting their names and badge numbers.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Section 11-Unnecessary Force
Section 13 (2) Fail to provide identifying information upon request

Decision and Reasons

Section 11-- Unnecessary Use of Force- Unsubstantiated.

The Investigator relied on the Body Worn Camera (BWC) footage captured by the officers involved. The officers recorded the entire interaction with the complainant. During the investigation the Complainant noted that she felt the officers used excessive force during the interaction with her as well as unnecessarily damaged the motor vehicle she was in.

The BWC footage of the entire interaction with the Complainant shows that the officers reacted appropriately to a volatile and unsafe situation to prioritize the Complainant's safety. The investigation deemed the use of force reasonable, justified and proportional to the Complainant's actions.

Section 13 (2)

The investigator relied on BWC provided by the attending police officers. The Complainant has alleged that the officers, after she requested their identify badge numbers and names, failed to provide them as stipulated in the Police Officer Code of Conduct.

The Investigator found that the officers' priority was the Complainant's safety and agreed to provide their identifying information at a later time after the unstable situation was deescalated. Further, the Complainant, once in a calm environment, was provided the names of the officers who attended the hospital with her.