DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: Date of Complaint: 11/05/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint
The Complainant (Compl) submitted a complaint to LECA asserting that the RO failed to act on ongoing criminal harassment complaints, that he improperly handled evidence and was dismissive of evidence.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Community Safety and Policing Act, Code of Conduct for Police Officers, O. Reg. 407/23:

Section 10 of the Community Safety and Policing Act states,“A police officer shall not conduct themselves in a manner that undermines, or is likely to undermine, public trust in policing.”

Decision and Reasons

The PS investigator reviewed the relevant Niche Records Management System and associated reports, officer duty report and notes, Niche RMS audit and email correspondence. The Respondent Officer complied with the law and police policy. He conducted a thorough investigation and conducted himself in accordance with his training and the expected high standards of the OPP.