DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: Date of Complaint:

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The complainant believed that RO#1 was using tools and resources available to them to conduct an investigation that was not law enforcement related during on duty hours

The complainant believed that it was inappropriate for RO#1 do conduct the unrelated investigation while on duty and that it took RO#1's attention away from their police duties.

The complainant further believed that this investigation was sensitive because it involved a person under the age of 18 years.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Section 18 access, collect, use, alter, retain or destroy information
Section 31 deceive or mislead
Section 10 conduct undermines public trust
Section 16(1) improper use of position

Decision and Reasons

The conduct investigation confirmed that RO#1 did not use any tools or databases available to them through the course of their employment.

RO#1 in an effort to accommodate the complainants schedule had a brief conversation with them during on duty hours. The conversation was brief and it determined that the conversation did not take RO#1 away from their duties or responsibilities.

At the completion of the investigation, it was determined that there was no misconduct on behalf of the officer and all allegations were found to be unsubstantiated.