DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: Date of Complaint: 02/21/2026

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint
On December 23, 2026, the Respondent Officers attended the Complainant's apartment for an Unknown Trouble call for service. Based on the initial information received, the Complainant was arrested and detained while the Officers conducted an investigation. The Complainant alleged that the officers used excessive force and unlawfully detained him.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Section 11(1) Unnecessary Use of Force
Section 8(1)-Unauthorized Physical/Psychological Detention
Section 10-Conduct that Undermines Public Trust

Decision and Reasons

Allegation #1

Interactions with the Public

Section 11(1) – Unnecessary or excessive use of force
A police officer shall not use force unless,
(e) The force is used for the purpose of carrying out a duty.
(f) The officer is entitled, by statute or common law, to use force for the purpose of carrying out that duty.
(g) The officer is action on reasonable grounds; and
(h) The force used is no more than is necessary given the circumstances.

• It is alleged that the Respondent Officer used unnecessary force against the Complainant, causing him injuries.
Respondent Officer 1 (RO1)
Respondent Officer 2 (RO2)

Finding: This investigation has revealed the officers were in the lawful performance of their duties and acted in accordance with all governing authorities. The Respondent Officers used forced that reasonable and necessary to gain control and compliance of the Complainant. The Complainant was actively resisting and did not comply with their demands. The Complainant alleged the Respondent Officers caused him injuries on his back and wrist. The scratch marks on his back may have been cased by the handcuffs rubbing on his back. These types of injuries are superficial and out of the control of the Officers. While the Complainant was in the rear of the police vehicle, the Complainant was continuously moving, attempting to kick the back door open and purposely hit his head on the cage of the vehicle.

The Respondent Officers did not use unnecessary force or excessive force. The body word