DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 04/24/2026

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint
The Complainant alleged the Respondent Officer threatened him with criminal charges on no basis and acted as a Sheriff and threatened to enforce an illegal eviction despite an active Landlord and Tenant Board Case.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Section 10 Undermines Public Trust

Decision and Reasons

The investigation found the actions of the RO were lawful and appropriate. There was no evidence to reasonably believe the RO was unprofessional in his approach and interaction with the Complainant when attempting to explain the Complainant's circumstances of not falling under the definition of "tenant". The RO did not threaten the Complainant with criminal charges or an illegal eviction. The allegation was unsubstantiated.