DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: Date of Complaint: 03/03/2026

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint
The Complainant alleges the Respondent Officers neglected to do their duty when they refused to take a report and acted in an aggressive and intimidating manner.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Treat Person in Contravention of Human Rights Code	Section 5(1)
Conduct Undermines Public Trust	Section 10
Neglect of duty	Section 19
Fail to comply with procedures	Section 28

Decision and Reasons

The Respondent Officer was in the lawful performance of his duties while speaking with the Complainant and his mother. There is no evidence to show the Respondent Officer contravened the Human Rights Code or treated the Complainant's mother in an adverse manner.

The Complainant found the language and manner the Respondent Officers used to be argumentative and intimidating, but there is no evidence to show the Respondent Officer's actions or language rose to the level of misconduct. A report was taken by the Witness Officer at the request of Respondent Officer 2 and after Respondent Officer 1 asked clarifying questions as to what had happened as the statement provided had not been prepared by the victim.