DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: Date of Complaint: 12/17/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The complainant alleges the Respondent Officers failed to conduct a proper investigation when her husband was sexually assaulted, harassed and blackmailed.

The Complainant further alleges the Respondent Officers were rude, dismissive, and demeaning in their response.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Conduct that Undermines the Public Trust	Section 10 (1)
Neglect of duty	Section 19

Decision and Reasons

The Investigator found the Respondent Officers did thoroughly investigate the report and reviewed all available evidence when they determined the evidence did not support a criminal charge. The Complainant was dissatisfied with the Respondent Officer's decision and felt further discontent when she attempted to discuss the matter but was rebuffed. The Complainant was dissatisfied with how the Respondent Officers responded to her requests for investigative review, but that was a result of her persistence in laying criminal charges.

There is no evidence to support the claim that the Respondent Officers conducted a neglectful investigation. The Investigator concurred with the Respondent Officers' assessment that criminal charges were not warranted in this case.