DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: Date of Complaint:

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The complainant alleges that the responding officers confronted him at gunpoint in response to a report of a “man with a gun.” The complainant stated that he was searched, his vehicle was seized as evidence, and he was not provided sufficient information or documentation regarding the seizure.

The complainant further alleges that the handcuffs were applied too tightly, causing pain and injury to his wrists, and that he was taken into custody without supporting evidence, resulting in embarrassment, distress, and concern.

Additionally, the complainant alleges that officers did not provide their names or badge numbers and that no apology, explanation, or acknowledgment of the incident was offered. He remains uncertain whether proper procedures were followed by the officers involved.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Allegation 1 – Neglect of Duty Section 19 of the Community Safety and Policing Act (CSPA)
You are alleged to have committed misconduct in that, by act or omission, you failed to perform your duties appropriately without lawful excuse, that you knew, or reasonably ought to have known would amount to failure to perform your duties appropriately.

It is alleged that the responding officers neglected to do their duty and do a complete investigation.

Allegation 2 - Fail to Provide Identification Section 13(2) (CSPA)

Decision and Reasons

PSU reviewed all available body worn camera footage, officer reports, and spoke with the complainant. The call for service involved a male reportedly armed with a firearm and pouring gasoline in the lobby of an apartment building. All responding officers attended and acted in accordance with [police service] policy and established procedures.

The complainant was taken into custody without incident. A statement was obtained from the individual who contacted police as part of the ongoing investigation.

Upon completion of the investigation, officers determined there were no grounds to charge the complainant, and he was released unconditionally. A search warrant was executed on the complainant ' s vehicle the following day, after which the vehicle was returned to him.

At no time did the complainant request the names or badge numbers of the involved officers