DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: Date of Complaint: 07/03/2026

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint
During July of 2026, a complaint was filed involving the [redacted] pertaining to the complainant's arrest. The complainant alleged that she was the victim, that the other party should have been charged, that she did not receive medical care, that her daughter was placed with strangers and that she was treated poorly by officers.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Section 9- Neglects health/safety of person in custody
A police officer shall not neglect the health or safety of any individual who is in their custody as a result of the police officer's duties.

Section 19- Neglect of duty
A police officer shall not, by act or omission, fail to perform their duties appropriately without lawful excuse if, at the time, they know or reasonably ought to know that their act or omission would amount to a failure to perform their duties appropriately.

Decision and Reasons

Throughout this investigation police reports, compelled officer duty reports, body worn camera footage, in-car-camera footage, book-in video and communication logs were reviewed. The complainant alleged that officers neglected the health and safety of a person in their custody, failed to perform their duties and failed to comply with procedures. Based on a review and analysis of the information, the [redacted] PSB investigation has concluded that there was not clear and convincing evidence to support the allegations.