DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: Date of Complaint: 03/29/2026

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint
The Complainant alleged that on March 29, 2026, the Respondent Officer denied her access to her driveway during a road closure despite knowing she had an infant in her vehicle. She also alleged that the Respondent Officer was uncivil, used profanity and threatened to arrest her if she did not comply with his directions.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Allegation #1
Undermine Public Trust - Section 10(1) – A police officer shall not conduct themselves in a manner that undermines, or is likely to undermine, public trust in policing.

Decision and Reasons

Allegation #1
RO1 was lawfully engaged in traffic control duties at a significant fire scene. The road closure was reasonable and necessary to facilitate emergency operations, protect firefighting equipment, and ensure public safety. The evidence established that the Complainant was redirected in the same manner as other motorists affected by the closure and was able to park within close proximity of her residence. Further, there is insufficient evidence to determine whether RO1 made the comments attributed to him by the Complainant. Based on the totality of the evidence, there are insufficient reasonable grounds to conclude that RO1 committed misconduct. Accordingly, the allegation is unsubstantiated.