DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: Date of Complaint: 02/15/2026

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint
The Complainant alleged members of the police service engaged in conduct which undermines public trust and neglected to do their duty in relation to three occurrences.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Allegation 1 – Neglect of Duty – Section 19
A police officer shall not, by act or omission, fail to perform their duties appropriately without lawful excuse if, at the time, they know or reasonably ought to know that their act or omission would amount to a failure to perform their duties appropriately.

Allegation 2 – Neglect of Duty – Section 19
A police officer shall not, by act or omission, fail to perform their duties appropriately without lawful excuse if, at the time, they know or reasonably ought to know that their act or omission would

Decision and Reasons

Allegation 1
This investigation has determined that the officer did not fail to perform his duties in an appropriate manner. Further, the officer conducted a complete and thorough investigation in accordance with the expectations of YRP's policies and procedures.

Allegation 2
This investigation has determined that the officer did not fail to perform her duties in an appropriate manner. Further, the officer conducted a complete and thorough investigation in accordance with the expectations of YRP's policies and procedures.

Allegation 3
This investigation has determined that the officer did not fail to perform his duties in an appropriate manner. Further, the officer conducted a complete and thorough investigation in accordance with the expectations of YRP's policies and procedures.

Allegation 4
This investigation has determined that the officer did not fail to perform his duties in an appropriate manner. Further, the officer conducted a complete and thorough review of the identified investigations in accordance with the expectations of YRP's policies and procedures.

Allegation 5
This investigation has determined that the officer did not conduct himself in a manner that would undermine public trust in policing. Further, the officer clearly defined the use of the non-medical term and how it applied to his observations.