DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 01/30/2026

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ○ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ◉

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant alleged that police service neglected to conduct a proper and timely investigation into her alleged sexual assaults and intimate images being shared without her consent.

The complainant made several allegations related to the investigation in that it lacked trauma informed practice including communication failures and dismissive conduct, investigator turnover without transparency, perceived biased investigation and lack of confidence in objectivity.

The complainant alleged that the critical digital evidence including cell phone downloads and social media accounts were not handled properly.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

1) Neglect of Duty - Section 19
2)Undermine Public Trust - Section 10(1)
3)Neglect of Duty - Section 19

Decision and Reasons

1) Search Warrants, Production orders, cell phone evidence and social media downloads were reviewed.

2) Officers notes, reports and emails were reviewed

3) Interviews were reviewed.

4) Assignment, Supervision and Decisions in the investigation were reviewed.

No evidence of misconduct.

Limitations were identified in police service ability to access cell phone data by digital forensic specialist but no neglect of duty or improper handling of the evidence was found.

No Reason and probable grounds to lay charges in the investigation was reviewed, investigation summary and crown consult was completed.