DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: Date of Complaint: 01/21/2026

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint
The complaint arose from an interaction between the officer and the complainant following a courtroom process. The complainant alleged that the officer undermined the public trust in policing after the police officer confronted the complainant who had without authority entered the prisoner docket and threatened to remove the complainant with force should the issue recur.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Count 1: Undermining Public Trust, Contrary to Ontario Regulation 407/23 Section 10 (1)
(1) A police officer shall not conduct themselves in a manner that undermines, or is likely to undermine, public trust in policing.

Decision and Reasons

Police Officer's assigned to court security are responsible for the safety of all persons within a court building, including judges, counsel, witnesses and the accused. They are also responsible for the security of an accused person and must ensure that they do not pass or receive any items.

The officer was acting in the performance of their duty and enforcing security protocols, which the complainant had already received warning of.

The investigation has found the allegation to be unsubstantiated.