DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: Date of Complaint: 09/04/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint
The Complainant alleged that the Respondent Officers (RO's) failed to complete a thorough Intimate Partner Violence investigation (IPV). The Complainant further alleged that the RO's made false statements in their notebooks and intimidated and coerced their spouse.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Public – Unlawful Arrest – Sec 7 CSPA Reg. 407/23
Duty – Neglect or Omit – Sec 19 CSPA Reg. 407/23
Public – Undermine Public Trust – Sec 10 CSPA Reg/ 407/23

Decision and Reasons

Public – Unlawful Arrest – Sec 7 CSPA Reg. 407/23 - Code of Conduct

The Complainant alleged they were unlawfully arrested by the Respondent Officers (RO's) for obstructing a peace officer and assaulting their spouse.

The Investigator reviewed officers reports, notes, witness statements, Call Taker and Dispatcher audios recordings, Video Surveillance provided by the complainant, Police Orders with respect to Intimate Partner Violence(IPV), and the Criminal Code.

The Investigator concluded that the officers responded to an IPV occurrence and while en route they were provided with information that a witness saw the complainant with their hands around their spouses neck. When the officers arrived the Complainant prevented them from checking on the well-being of their spouse and was subsequently arrested for obstructing a peace officer. When the officers spoke with the Complainants spouse, she disclosed an assault and red marks were observed on her neck. The officers formed reasonable and probable grounds to believe the Complainant assaulted his spouse and the Complainant was subsequently arrested for an IPV Assault.

Duty – Neglect or Omit – Sec 19 CSPA Reg. 407/23 - Code of Conduct

The Complainant alleged the RO's fabricated evidence which included a witness who saw them strangle their wife, that their spouse disclosed an assault, and that their spouse had injuries. Additionally, the Complainant believed the RO's did not obtain a statement from a witness and RO 1 lied in their notebook entries with respect to the Complainants alcohol consumption.

The Investigator reviewed officers reports, notes, police civilian reports and notes, a witness statement, video surveillance provided by the Complainant, and Case Law - Korchinski v. Office of the Independent Police Review Director (2022).