DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 03/28/2026

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint
The complainant alleged she was harassed and shamed by the Respondent Officers who then recorded her on their cell phones.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Allegation 1 – CONDUCT UNDERMINES PUBLIC TRUST – Section 10(1)

Decision and Reasons

Allegation 1 – CONDUCT UNDERMINES PUBLIC TRUST – Section 10(1)
The investigation determined their was no evidence to support the complainant's allegations that the Respondent Officers harassed or shamed her during their interaction.

This was corroborated by CW1, who observed the Respondent Officers interaction with the complainant.

The investigation also determined there was no evidence the Respondent Officers recorded the complainant during their interaction.

The investigation determined the allegation was unsubstantiated.