

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 12/05/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☒

Referred to Other Service: ☐

Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant outlines that two officers illegally parked their vehicle in a bike lane and obstructed a bus stop when they were not responding to an emergency and attended a restaurant. In doing so, the officers endangered the Complainant and other cyclists. The Complainant also reported the officers jaywalked and disrupted traffic despite a nearby crosswalk.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Interactions with the Public,

Section 10. (1) A police officer shall not conduct themselves in a manner that undermines, or is likely to undermine, public trust in policing.

- It is alleged the Respondent Officers parked their scout car in the bike lane while not on official business endangering the Complainant's life and further that they jaywalked instead of using the available crosswalk close by.

Decision and Reasons

This investigation has revealed the officer(s) were in the lawful performance of their duties and acted in accordance with all governing authorities. The Responding Officers were at the location investigating a missing vulnerable person occurrence.

Based on the available information, the Investigator has concluded that there is insufficient evidence to establish reasonable grounds that misconduct has occurred.