

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 08/29/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☐

Referred to Other Service: ☐

Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The complainant was involved in a minor road rage incident with a construction worker who was directing traffic at a local intersection. The construction worker made it known to the complainant that they were driving too fast.

The complainant drove through the construction site, but turned back to engage the construction worker in a verbal dispute.

The construction worker obtained the complainant's licence plate and reported it to police.

RO attended the complainant's residence to advise him of the complaint and discuss strategies to avoid similar issues in the future.

The complainant alleges that the RO was disrespectful towards them and complained.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

section 10 - undermine public trust
section 12 - use abusive language

Decision and Reasons

Upon review of the complaint, the RO's statement and duty book notes and video of the complainant's interaction with the RO, it was determined that both allegations were unsubstantiated.