

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 06/10/2026

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☒

Referred to Other Service: ☐

Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The complainant alleged that the responding officer was neglectful in not conducting a thorough and fulsome investigation and failed to take appropriate enforcement action.

The complainant, further alleged the responding officer undermined public trust by pushing aside their small child and made derogatory and offensive comments which were insulting.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Allegation 1 – Undermine Public Trust Section 10

You are alleged to have committed misconduct in that, you conducted yourself in a manner that undermined or was likely to undermine, public trust in policing.

It is alleged that the responding officer pushed the complainant's small child into a laundry basket.

Allegation 2 – Insulting Language Section 12

You are alleged to have committed misconduct in that, you used abusive language or threatened any person in a manner that was abusive.

Decision and Reasons

Allegation 1 – Undermine Public Trust Section 10

You are alleged to have committed misconduct in that, you conducted yourself in a manner that undermined or was likely to undermine, public trust in policing.

A review of the the responding officers BWC showed that at no point did the responding officer shove the complainants small child into a laundry basket

Allegation 2 – Insulting Language Section 12

You are alleged to have committed misconduct in that, you used abusive language or threatened any person in a manner that was abusive.

A review of the the responding officers BWC showed that at no point did the responding officer use abusive language or threatened the complainant.

Allegation 3 – Neglects to do Duty Section 19

You are alleged to have committed misconduct in that, by act of omission, you failed to perform your duties appropriately without lawful excuse, that you knew, or reasonably ought to have known would amount to failure to perform your duties appropriately.

A review of the the responding officers BWC showed that they spoke with all parties involved and completed their investigation finding no offense had taken place.