

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: 

Date of Complaint: 02/05/2026

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☒

Referred to Other Service: ☐

Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The complainant alleged that the respondent officer did not conduct a fulsome and thorough investigation and took inappropriate enforcement action. The complainant further alleges that the respondent officer was influenced by a personal relationship to take enforcement action.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

- 1: Neglects to Do Duty Section 19 C.S.P.A. O.Reg 407/23
- 2: Improper Use of Position Section 16 C.S.P.A. O.Reg 407/23



Decision and Reasons

The investigator concluded that there were insufficient reasonable and probable grounds to substantiate the allegations of Neglect of Duty and Improper Use of Position.

The Professional Standards Branch investigator reviewed both the investigative steps undertaken by the respondent officer and the enforcement action taken. All available evidence, including body-worn camera footage, was examined. The review determined that the respondent officer conducted an appropriate investigation and was not influenced by any personal relationships.

Based on the information reviewed, the allegation was deemed unsubstantiated