DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: March 5th, 2026

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The complainant alleges that respondent officers used unnecessary force by grabbing the complainant.

The complainant also alleges that while respondent officers applied the handcuffs excessively tightly.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

section 10 conduct undermines public trust CSPA
section 11 unnecessary force CSPA

Decision and Reasons

The investigation determined that respondent officer #1 and #2's arrest and subsequent treatment of the complainant were appropriate and accurate, based on what was reported in their reports, duty statement, and supported by their Body Worn Camera footage. There was no indication that excessive force was used or that they tried to conceal their actions by falsifying their reports. As a result, there was insufficient evidence to substantiate the allegation of unnecessary force, and the conduct undermines public trust.

Based on a review and analysis of the available information, the investigation has determined that there is insufficient evidence to establish that misconduct occurred. Therefore, with respect to the following allegations, the conclusions are:

Allegation 1
Community Safety Policing Act:
Name: Unnecessary Force
Section Number: S.19 CSPA
Unsubstantiated

Allegation 2
Community Safety Policing Act:
Name: Conduct undermines public trust
Section Number: S.10 CSPA
Unsubstantiated