DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: Date of Complaint:

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The complaint arose after a vehicle belonging to a deceased relative was reported as stolen and then registered to a new owner. The complainant alleged that officers; failed to properly investigate the theft, used insulting language and demonstrated bias based on the complainants family association.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Count 1: Neglects to do duty, Contrary to Ontario Regulation 407/23 Section 19
Count 2: Insulting Language Contrary to Ontario Regulation 407/23 Section 12
Count 3: Undermining Public Trust, Contrary to Ontario Regulation 407/23 Section 10 (1)
Count 4: Neglects to do duty, Contrary to Ontario Regulation 407/23 Section 19
Count 5: Undermining Public Trust, Contrary to Ontario Regulation 407/23 Section 10 (1)

Decision and Reasons

There was insufficient evidence to substantiate any of the allegations, including neglect of duty, use of insulting language, or conduct undermining public trust. The respondent officers involved acted within the scope of their duties, followed appropriate procedures, and escalated the matter as required by policy.

The investigation has found all allegations to be unsubstantiated.