DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 10/17/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The complainant reports that her child's school was placed in lock-down following reports of an armed, expelled student on the premises and that her child was questioned by police due to prior contact with the individual. The complainant alleged that an officer used her child's cellphone to contact the suspect, thereby identifying her child as having cooperated with police and resulting in threats of retaliation. The complainant disputed the characterization of the respondent officer's conduct as exceptional police work, stating that the actions exposed her child to ongoing safety risks and threats.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Undermine Public Trust – Section 10, CSPA O. Reg. 407/23

Decision and Reasons

Undermine Public Trust - Section 10, CSPA O. Reg. 407/23 - Unsubstantiated

Based on the evidence, urgent public safety context, legal authority, and case law, the respondent officer's conduct does not meet the threshold for misconduct under section 10 of Ontario Regulation 407/23. The respondent officer's actions were undertaken in good faith, reasonable and proportionate, consistent with exigent circumstance policing, and unlikely to undermine public trust when viewed objectively and contextually.

In reaching this determination, the investigator reviewed police reports, interviewed the child, obtained statements from officers (respondent and witness), and studied relevant case law, including:
R. v. Fearon, 2014 SCC 77, [2014] 3 S.C.R. 621
R. v. Campbell, 2024 SCC 42
Hill v. Hamilton-Wentworth Regional Police Services Board, 2007 SCC 41