DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 01/12/2026

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant alleges the Respondent Officer refused to provide his name and badge number, unlawfully arrested him, and searched his cell phone.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Neglect to Duty	Section 19
Unlawful Arrest	Section 7
Conduct Undermines Public Trust	Section 10

Decision and Reasons

The Respondent Officer was in the lawful performance of his duties when he investigated the Complainant during a traffic stop. The Complainant alleges the Respondent Officer failed to provide his name and badge number. Video evidence shows the Respondent Officer did clearly identify himself repeatedly prior to arresting the Complainant. Also, his name and badge number were clearly visible on his uniform.

Video evidence shows the Complainant refused to identify himself to the Respondent Officer and after repeated requests he was lawfully arrested. The Highway Traffic Act provided the Respondent Officer with the lawful authority to arrest the Complainant.

The Complainant alleges the Respondent Officer unlawfully searched his phone. Video evidence shows the Respondent Officer initially attempted to search the Complainant's phone but discontinued prior to gaining access to the phone. Misconduct did not occur as the phone was not accessed.