DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 04/17/2026

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant alleged that he and his son were unlawfully arrested for assault on July 30, 2025. The Complainant further alleged that the investigation misrepresented and manipulated information which resulted in wrongful charges against himself and his son.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Allegation #1
Interactions with the Public
Section 7(1)- Unlawful Arrest
-A police officer shall not make an arrest if, at the time of the arrest, the officer knows or reasonably ought to know that the arrest is unlawful

Allegation #2
Performance of Duties
Section 13 Neglect of Duty

Decision and Reasons

1. Allegation of Unlawful Arrest:This investigation has revealed the Respondent Officers were in the lawful performance of their duties and acted in accordance with all governing authorities. A review of the available evidence confirmed that the Respondent Officers had reasonable grounds to arrest the Complainant and CW1 for the charges outlined in the record of arrest. The decision to withdraw the charges rests entirely with the Crown Attorney's Office and is not relevant to this investigation.

2. Allegation of Neglect of Duty: This investigation has revealed the Respondent Officers were in the lawful performance of their duties and acted in accordance with all governing authorities. The Respondent Officers conducted a thorough on scene investigation that included victim and third-party witness interviews, viewing and securing CCTV video footage, and documenting injuries. A review of the evidence collected on scene was compared with the evidence disclosed to the courts, and the Investigator and CW3 did not find anything to suggest the Respondent Officers misrepresented or manipulated information in this case.

All of the allegations are unsubstantiated.