**DISCLAIMER:** In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

# DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: November 19, 2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

## De-identified Summary of Complaint

On September 18, 2025 the complainant (C) who was seeking homelessness supports requested help after being the victim of hate crime, attempted murder, racism and discrimination at a social support office. Police were called to assist C with investigating the crimes they were a victim of.

Respondent officer (RO) met with C, and determined there was no criminal offence's to investigate but offered to help him in obtaining social assistance supports.

When C reached the office for social supports, C met RO outside the office. RO assaulted C, and threatened C's life. C went to anther officer for social supports where he saw RO again who committed a hate crime against C in public, calling C a terrorist.

## Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

sec.5 discrimination Human Rights Code
sec. 11 unnecessary force CSPA

## Decision and Reasons

The investigation has determined that RO consistently maintained a professional demeanour when speaking with C. During their initial contact with C they remained composed and willing to assist C. This professional attitude persisted throughout subsequent interactions with C was captured on the Body Worn Camera. There is no evidence that any brief off-camera contact with C would have led to the alleged discriminatory comments.

Civilian Statements showed the same abusive language used by C when they were unable to obtain housing and services. The investigation found that whenever C was trespassed from a location due to their language, they would make allegations of discrimination and racism. This same language was used when alleging discrimination by RO.

Based on a review and analysis of the available information, the investigation has determined that there is insufficient evidence to establish that misconduct occurred. As a result, with respect to the allegation of Discrimination Human Rights Code against RO, the allegation is unsubstantiated.

The investigation has determined that RO neither used nor attempted to use any force against C. C confirmed in his statements from January 29th and February 19th, 2026, that no assault took place but claimed they had to evade RO before being assaulted. RO's Body Worn Camera footage was reviewed and confirmed this did not occur.

Based on a review and analysis of the available information, the investigation has determined that there is insufficient evidence to establish that misconduct occurred. As a result, with respect to the allegation of Unnecessary Force against RO, the allegation is unsubstantiated.