DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 02/02/2026

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint
The Complainant alleges the Respondent Officers did not properly investigate his report properly.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Neglects to do duty	Section 19

Decision and Reasons

The Complainant alleges that the Respondent Officers failed to properly investigate his report of being sexually trafficked. However, the Investigator found that the Respondent Officers did thoroughly investigate the Complainant's report and reviewed all available evidence. The Respondent Officers determined the evidence did not support a criminal charge and decided not to take further action. There is no evidence to support the claim that the Respondent Officers conducted a neglectful investigation. The Investigator concurred with the Respondent Officer's assessment that a criminal offence had not occurred, and there was insufficient evidence to conduct further investigation.