DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 11/19/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant alleges the Respondent Officer did not conduct a proper investigation into the theft of his valuables.

The Complainant further alleges he attended a police station on multiple occasions and has been assaulted by officers when he goes there.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Neglects to do Duty	Section 19
Unnecessary Use of Force	Section 11(1)

Decision and Reasons

The first Respondent Officer spent a great deal of time investigating the theft and subsequent fraud. The Respondent Officer conducted a thorough investigation although he was unable to recover the Complainant's property. The Complainant was not satisfied with the investigation as the Respondent Officer was unable to recover his property.

The Complainant alleges the second Respondent Officer used unnecessary force on him. The Respondent Officer spoke with the Complainant and attempted to assist him. Both the Respondent Officer and Complainant state no physical force was used on the Complainant when he was asked to leave the building. Video evidence shows the Respondent Officer using presence alone when he walked with the Complainant as he exited the building. No physical force was used.