DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: Date of Complaint: 08/08/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant alleged the Respondent Officers (RO) failed to investigate her allegations of assault and a Respondent Officer was rude and dismissive towards her.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Duty – Neglect or Omit – Sec 19 CSPA Reg. 407/23
Public – Undermine Public Trust – Sec 10 CSPA Reg. 407/23

Decision and Reasons

Duty – Neglect or Omit – Sec 19 CSPA Reg. 407/23

The officers were dispatched to assist a Provincial Park Warden with an uncooperative person in the park with was identified as the Complainant. In addition the Complainant contacted 911 with respect to this same incident. The Complainant alleged the Warden assaulted her, whereas the Park Warden alleged they were in the course of their duties, attempted to serve a Provincial Offence Notice (PON) to the Complainant who evaded service and assaulted him.

The Investigator reviewed officers reports, notes, 911 audio, photographs of the Complainants injuries, a photograph of Park Warden's injury, Section 265 and 25 of the Criminal Code, Section 37 of the Provincial Park and Conservation Act of Ontario, Case Law - Korchinski v. Office of the Independent Police Review Director and Hill v. Hamilton-Wentworth Regional Police Service Board.

The Investigator concluded the officers conducted a thorough assault investigation and determined there was no grounds to believe the Provincial Park Warden assaulted the Complainant.

Public – Undermine Public Trust – Sec 10 CSPA Reg. 407/23

The officer was dispatched to contact the Complainant following an incident that occurred at a Provincial Park earlier in the day. Prior to speaking with the Complainant the officer spoke with a member involved in the original incident. The officer then contacted the Complainant and listened to their explanation of the incident. The officer determined grounds did not exist to believe the Provincial Park Warden assaulted the Complainant and the originally dispatched officers had reached a reasonable conclusion. The officer provided the Complainant with information with respect to how to proceed with a PON.

The Investigator reviewed the officer's report and notes as well as the Complainants written and