DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: Date of Complaint: 12/27/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant attended a police station in [city] to report behaviour of a roommate he believed to be threatening and harassing in nature. The Complainant spoke to an on duty officer and alleged that the officer failed to investigate his criminal allegation. The failure to investigate the crime as reported caused the Complainant further issues following this.

The Complainant put in a LECA complaint where he alleged the Respondent Office was negligent in his investigation.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Performance of Duties. CSPA - O.Reg. 407/23 - Sec. 19.

Decision and Reasons

The Respondent Officer provided information that the Complainant did not provide any criminal complaint but rather spoke about several other things, none of which required a police response. The Respondent Officer offered for officers to attend to the Complainant's address to assist, taking into consideration the possibility of Mental Health issues. The Complainant declined having officers to his residence. Other related, but non criminal services were offered to the Complainant. Again they were declined.

It was determined that appropriate, methodical steps had been undertaken and that the investigation was not neglectful.