DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 02/23/2026

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant attended the policestation, seeking police assistance in relation to a matter involving his daughter. During this interaction, it is alleged that a Respondent Officer used insulting language toward the Complainant. It is further alleged that, while the Complainant was exiting the division, another Respondent Officer made physical contact with him by jabbing him in the back, causing injury.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

1) Interaction with the Public - Insulting Language O Reg 407/23 S.12 Community Safety and Policing Act.
2) Interaction with the Public - Unnecessary Force O Reg 407/23 S. 11 Community Safety and Policing Act.

Decision and Reasons

1) Insulting Language
Based on the totality of the evidence, including the Respondent Officers statement, the Complainant's different versions and absence of any corroboration, the PSB Investigator has determined that there was no evidence to support the Respondent Officer used any form of insulting language toward the Complainant in their interaction. As a result, with respect to this allegation, the conclusion is Unsubstantiated.

2) Unnecessary Force
Based on the totality of the evidence, including video footage, the PSB Investigator has determined that there was no evidence to support the Respondent Officer used any unnecessary force towards the Complainant. As a result, with respect to this allegation, the conclusion is Unsubstantiated.