DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: Date of Complaint:

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

On October 6, 2025, the Complainant filed an online complaint with the LECA. The Complainant alleged that the Respondent Officer failed to assist him in regaining entry to his residence after he was unlawfully evicted and further failed to investigate the unlawful eviction as a criminal offence (break and enter) or generate a police report.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

1.) Performance of Duties - Section 19 Neglect of Duty
2.) Performance of Duties - Section 19 Neglect of Duty

Decision and Reasons

****Allegation #1**** Unsubstantiated

By the time Respondent Officer (RO) arrived on scene; the Complainant had already been evicted from his unit, the locks changed, his property had been moved and stored at a secure facility. And the Complainant had been notified of its location.

The Complainant was advised by (RO) that because the incident involved the Landlord and Tenant Board, it must be settled through the civil process. And that he had no power to force the Frat House into allowing the him reentry into the building and his unit. Further explaining to the Complainant, had the Complainant been inside the Frat House, he would have no authority to remove the Complainant from the Frat House either.

Although the Complainant asserts in his written LECA complaint that (RO) violated his “Tenant Rights” by failing to assist. (RO) has no authority to assist him nor intervene. The Residential Tenancies Act, does not confer any specific enforcement or eviction powers on police officers in Ontario.

Based on all the available information, the Investigator has concluded that there is insufficient evidence to establish reasonable grounds that misconduct has occurred.

****Allegation #2**** Unsubstantiated

(RO) gathered and evaluated all relevant information and based on that assessment, determined there were no reasonable grounds to believe a criminal offence had occurred. The matter was civil in nature and fell within the jurisdiction of the Landlord and Tenant Board. No police report required. (RO) documented the incident in his memorandum book.

Based on all the available information, the Investigator has concluded that there