**DISCLAIMER:** In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

# DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: Date of Complaint:

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☐ Referred to Other Service: ☐ Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

| De-identified Summary of Complaint |
| --- |

The complainant felt targeted and harassed by the ROs during a traffic stop in [Redacted]

He felt the level of police presence was disproportionate and not required.

He added the ROs did not have legal authority to question his passenger.

## Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Allegation 1 – Conduct undermines public trust Section 10

## Decision and Reasons

The ROs were directed to by their chain of command to visible in the area due to potential violence. The complainant was currently on parole attending [Redacted]. The complainant's documents were checked and he was confirmed to abiding by his parole conditions. The level of police intervention was appropriate.