DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 12/24/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant alleges the Respondent Officer was in a crowded family environment using profanity and having a loud conversation with another officer with overt references of sexual activity.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Conduct that Undermines the Public Trust Section 10 (1)

Decision and Reasons

The Respondent Officer was in the lawful performance of his duties while performing duties on a paid duty. The Respondent Officer denies he used the alleged uncivil language and there is no evidence to show that he did. The Witness Officer supports his denials. There is no evidence to show the Respondent Officer was unprofessional.