

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 05/08/2026

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☒

Referred to Other Service: ☐

Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

On March 30, 2026, the Complainant filed a written letter of complaint with the Law Enforcement Complaints Agency (LECA).

The complaint was referred to the [REDACTED] for investigation on May 8, 2026, and assigned to the investigator.

The Complainant alleged that while he attempted to file a report at the police station, the Respondent Officer was verbally abusive to him and did not provide a time frame for filing a report.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations**Allegation #1****Interactions with the Public- Section 10 (1) Undermine Public Trust**

A police officer shall not conduct themselves in a manner that undermines, or is likely to undermine, public trust in policing

- It is alleged the Respondent Officer was disrespectful to the Complainant during the process of

Decision and Reasons

After a review of all of the available information, the identity of the Respondent Officer could not be determined. Given the absence of documentary evidence, CCTV availability, or officer identification, the Investigator is unable to verify that the incident occurred as described. The allegation therefore remains unsubstantiated

There is insufficient evidence to establish reasonable grounds to suggest the Respondent Officer conducted themselves in a manner that would undermine public trust in policing.