

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: [REDACTED]

Date of Complaint: August 15, 2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: Referred to Other Service: Retained by LECA:

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The complainant alleged that on August 12, 2025, RO#1 did not investigate a matter adequately. The complainant further alleged that RO#1 lied to them following the incident.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

19 neglects to do duty
10 conduct undermines public trust

Decision and Reasons

In conclusion, there is insufficient evidence to reasonably conclude RO#1's actions amounted to misconduct in relation to their handling of the investigation.

RO#1 acted within the guidelines of the law and [REDACTED] Directives, Policies and Procedures.

Body Worn Camera Footage and officer statements do not support the complainants claims.

This investigation has found a lack of evidence to support what the complainant has claimed. Therefore, based on a review and analysis of the available information, the investigation has determined that there is insufficient evidence to establish that misconduct occurred.