

RECEIVED

By LECA at 3:35 pm, Jun 05, 2026

Law Enforcement
Complaints Agency



DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: [REDACTED]

Date of Complaint: 04/12/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service:

Referred to Other Service:

Retained by LECA:

Service Investigations Referred to: [REDACTED]

De-identified Summary of Complaint

On the complainant was arrested for uttering threats arising from an altercation in the parking lot. The complainant was found in possession of a loaded handgun, ammunition, illicit drugs, and property obtained by crime. The complainant was subsequently charged with multiple Criminal Code of Canada (CC) offences and Controlled Drugs and Substances Act (CDSA) offences. The complainant was convicted of his CC offences in the Superior Court of Justice, while the complainant's CDSA charges were withdrawn before trial. The Complainant is alleging that 3 Constables and a Sergeant neglected their duties by conducting an improper investigation, misled the court by fabricating evidence, and colluded in a manner that undermined public trust in policing.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations**Section 19- Neglects to do duty**

A police officer shall not, by an act or omission, fail to perform their duties appropriately without lawful excuse if, at the time, they know or reasonably ought to know that their act or omission would amount to a failure to perform their duties appropriately.

Decision and Reasons

Throughout this investigation police reports, officer notes, witness statements, email correspondence, a court decision, the Police Services Act and case law were reviewed. The investigator concluded the occurrence was complete and corroborated by duty reports. The physical evidence that was collected during the investigation was correctly documented in the involved property section of the occurrence. All reports were complete with the case file submitted to the courts for prosecution.