

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: South Simcoe

Date of Complaint: 09/23/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: Referred to Other Service: Retained by LECA:

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The complainant alleges the RO's did not conduct a proper investigation into his off-road vehicle collision and that they were negligent in not laying charges.

The complainant further alleges that the RO's improperly disclosed police records to the father of the other driver.

The complainant further alleges that the RO's were bias and had a conflict of interest in their investigation into his collision because the officers were made aware the father of the other driver was a police officer.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Allegation 1 – Neglect of Duty O.Reg. 407/23 S.19

Allegation 2 – Unauthorized Disclosure of Information O. Reg. 407/23 S. 17(1)

Allegation 3 – Conduct that undermines public trust in policing O. Reg. 407/23 S.10

Decision and Reasons

Allegation 1 - Neglectful Investigation (collision)

The investigation revealed that the officers were in the lawful performance of their duties and acted in accordance with all governing authorities. They conducted a thorough and timely investigation, with no significant or unreasonable delays in evidence collection, All involved parties were interviewed, and photographic evidence was collected with an analysis of the evidence documented on the BWC footage, Reports, and Notes. The Complainant was told at the scene of the decision not to lay criminal charges, substantiated by the totality of the investigation. This allegation was unsubstantiated.

Allegation 2 – Unauthorized Disclosure of Information (Disclosing police records)

The complainant presented no evidence to support this allegation other than being told of an officer disclosing records by an involved witness. During the investigation that witness refuted telling the complainant this. Every investigative inquiry into police records, all audit logs and access records showed no evidence of records being accessed or shared. This allegation was unsubstantiated.

Allegation 3 – Conduct that undermines public trust in policing (bias or conflict of interest)

The complainant alleged a bias in the collision investigation because they officers were told the father of the other driver was a police officer. This was a general allegation without any supporting substance. The allegation was refuted by the involved officers and the investigation found no evidence to support this allegation. This allegation was unsubstantiated.