

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 12/22/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service:


Referred to Other Service:

Retained by LECA:

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The complainant alleges that the respondent officer failed to investigate a criminal offence because the subject was an off-duty police officer.



Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Allegation #1: Performance of Duties - Neglect to do Duty - S.19 CSPA
Allegation #2: Interactions with the Public - Conduct Undermines Public Trust - S.10(1) CSPA

Decision and Reasons

The respondent officer investigated an incident where the complainant was accosted by an unidentified subject. The investigation revealed that no criminal offence had occurred and as a result was closed.

The complainant believed that the respondent officer refused to adequately investigate the matter because the subject was recognized as an off-duty police officer. The complainant also stated that the subject had the same voice with similar physical characteristics as an officer they interacted with eight years prior.

It has been confirmed that no criminal offence occurred and there is no evidence to support the allegation that the subject in the incident was an off-duty police officer.

CONCLUSION: The Chief did not have reasonable grounds to believe that the actions of the respondent officer constituted misconduct.