

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: [REDACTED]

Date of Complaint: 05/27/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service:

Referred to Other Service:

Retained by LECA:

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant alleged the police unlawfully entered his property without a warrant during the search for a missing person. And further he was harassed by an [REDACTED] helicopter during the incident.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Duty – Neglect or Omit – S. 19 CSPA Reg. 407/29 – Unsubstantiated

Undermine Public Trust – S. 10 CSPA - Unsubstantiated

Decision and Reasons

Duty – Neglect or Omit – S. 19 CSPA Reg. 407/29 – Unsubstantiated

The Complainant alleged officers did not have the authority to enter his property without a warrant. A review of the incident revealed the officers entered his property in search of a missing person, whom they fear for the safety of and were attempting to prevent the harm or death of the missing person. Common law and Case law allows the police to enter a property in such circumstances without warrant. The involved officers articulated their exigent need to enter this property to search for the missing person.

The Investigator concluded the officers entry onto the Complainants property without warrant was lawful.

Undermine Public Trust – S. 10 CSPA - Unsubstantiated

The Complainant alleged he was harassed by an [REDACTED] helicopter during the missing person search and felt it was operated below the allowable height restrictions. A review of the flight crew evidence, the helicopter video search footage and the Canadian Aviation Regulations confirmed the aircraft was operated appropriately and in accordance with the regulations.

The Investigator concluded there was no evidence to support either member of the flight crew intentionally engaged in harassing behaviour toward the Complainant with an [REDACTED] helicopter.