

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: [REDACTED]

Date of Complaint: 10/31/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: Referred to Other Service: Retained by LECA:

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The complainant is the mother of the youth involved in this police investigation. The mother of the youth alleges an assault was not properly investigated, and provides many people who can verify the allegations.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Allegation 1: Neglects to do duty section 19 of the CSPA

Decision and Reasons

Through the Professional Standards Bureau (PSB) review of this police investigation the PSB investigator did review the respondent officers (RO) notes, reports, witness statements, and memorandum. The PSB investigator did review the complainants statement to LECA and conducted an audio statement with the complainant. The PSB investigator did interview two (2) independent witness who were identified in the original police report.

Upon reviewing the actions and conduct of the RO against the Procedures of the Police Service, the RO did exhaust all investigative avenues and formed no reasonable or probable grounds to proceed with criminal charges, thus concluding the criminal investigation.

Based on a review and analysis of all the information in relation to the Allegation, the PSB investigator has determined that there is insufficient evidence to establish "Neglect to do duty" occurred. As a result, with respect to the allegation, the conclusion to the misconduct investigation is unsubstantiated