

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: [REDACTED]

Date of Complaint: 09/04/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service:

Referred to Other Service:

Retained by LECA:

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The complainant alleges the respondent officer swore at them and acted discredibly during a R.I.D.E. initiative stop.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

O.REG 407/23 Section 10 - Conduct undermines public trust

Decision and Reasons

After reviewing all available evidence, including the complainant's LECA statement, dash camera footage, operational data from the R.I.D.E. program, officers notes, and officers memorandums, the investigation did not find 'clear and convincing' evidence to support the complainant's allegation.

There was no independent evidence to confirm whether the respondent officer swore at the complainant following the R.I.D.E stop.

As a result, there is insufficient evidence to support a finding of misconduct under Section 10, conduct that undermines public trust in policing and therefore the allegation is unsubstantiated.