

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: [REDACTED]

Date of Complaint: 07/31/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service:

Referred to Other Service:

Retained by LECA:

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant alleges that on July 30, 2025, while driving [REDACTED] Ave W, attempting to turn [REDACTED] he was involved in a road rage incident with the Respondent Officer. It is alleged that the Respondent Officer, who was off duty and operating his personal vehicle, engaged in aggressive driving, was uncivil toward the Complainant, and displayed his badge in an attempt to intimidate him.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

1. Undermine Public Trust: Section 10(1)
2. Incivility: Section 12(1)
3. Improper use of position: Section 16(1)

Decision and Reasons

1. Undermine Public Trust: The interaction between the Complainant and Respondent Officer occurred in congested traffic [REDACTED] Ave W., and later [REDACTED] Rd. The Complainant admitted to “brake checking” the Respondent Officer [REDACTED] Ave W., while the Respondent Officer alleged that this behaviour also occurred [REDACTED] Rd prompting him to change lanes, accelerate to catch up to the Complainant and then display his badge.

With no supporting evidence, there is insufficient grounds to establish misconduct.

2. Incivility: The Complainant alleged the Respondent Officer yelled at him, used profane language and made intimidating comments. The Respondent Officer denied these allegations but acknowledged yelling at the Complainant to pull over. Due to lack of independent evidence, insufficient grounds exist to establish misconduct.

3. Improper use of position: The Respondent Officer displayed his badge to request the Complainant to pull over for a vehicle investigation into what he perceived as careless driving by the Complainant. The Complainant did not comply and both parties continued on their own respective routes without further interaction.

There is no evidence that the Respondent Officer attempted to exercise his authority beyond identifying himself and no independent evidence exist to support any misuse of his position. As such insufficient evidence exist to establish misconduct.