

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 09/12/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service:

Referred to Other Service:

Retained by LECA:

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

On June 16th, 2024, the complainant was assaulted by his former partner and her friend at his residence. The respondent officer (the RO) disregarded the complainant's account, failed to collect evidence, and arrested him without justification. The RO communicated in French with one of the assailants, excluding the complainant, and terminated his video recording during the arrest.

Despite complaints of painful handcuffs, the RO delayed assistance and insulted the complainant. A supervising officer later intervened. The RO withheld her identification, nearly caused damage to the complainant's phone, and asked him not to report her conduct. Fourteen months later, charges were withdrawn after video evidence confirmed the complainant was the victim, highlighting the RO's failure to properly investigate.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Allegation 1 – Conduct undermines public trust Section 10
Allegation 2 – Insulting language Section 12
Allegation 3 – Fail to Perform Duties Section 19

Decision and Reasons

The RO adhered to [police service] policy while on scene and with the arrest of the complainant. There was insufficient evidence to support misconduct on the part of the RO. The allegations are unsubstantiated.