

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: Sarnia

Date of Complaint: 10/01/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service:

Referred to Other Service:

Retained by LECA:

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant is alleging that the Respondent Officer unlawfully evicted her from a local hotel. On a separate occasion the Complainant further alleged that the same Respondent Officer made a comment that her calls to police would go unanswered when she calls the police station.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Allegation 1 – Undermines Public Trust 10(1)

A police officer shall not conduct themselves in a manner that undermines, or is likely to undermine, public trust in policing.

Decision and Reasons

These complainants have been investigated and have been unsubstantiated.

The Respondent Officer did not evict the Complainant from a local hotel unlawfully. The Complainant had only paid up to February 20, 2025 and had been causing issues, so the hotel would not allow the Complainant to stay any longer and had to leave as of February 20, 2025. This message was merely conveyed from the Respondent Officer to the Complainant.

The comments made by the Respondent Officer to the Complainant about her calls not being answered were misunderstood by the Complainant. The Respondent Officer was attempting to convey to her that since an officer (Respondent Officer), was present and her requesting another officer to attend, the call would go to the bottom of the queue, as well as it being a low priority call.