

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 05/09/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☒

Referred to Other Service: ☐

Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The complainant alleged he approached police officers while they were present in a night club. He politely asked respondent officer 1 (RO1) why armed police needed to be in the club. Respondent officer 2 (RO2) aggressively approached him in an intimidating manner forcing the complainant to step back.

Later outside of the night club the complainant again approached RO1 and attempted to speak with her calmly. During that conversation RO2 shoved him 3-5 times and accused him of being intoxicated in a public place.

He was then surrounded by both ROs along with witness officer 1 (WO1) and witness officer 2 (WO2). He was mocked for eating a pogo, he was harassed and felt unsafe. Once he began recording the police officers with his cell phone, they ceased their activity. He requested RO1 and RO2's badge number, but they refused to identify themselves.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

<p>Allegation 1 Unlawful Detention Section 8 CSPA Allegation 2 Conduct Undermines Public Trust Section 10 CSPA Allegation 3 Unnecessary Use of Force Section 11 CSPA Allegation 4 Fail to Provide Identifying information upon request Section 13(2)</p>
--

Decision and Reasons

<p>No evidence was identified during the investigation to support the complainant's allegations. Notably, the video recording submitted by the complainant corroborates the compelled statements provided by the ROs and WOs.</p>

<p>Throughout the interaction, the ROs remained calm and professional while carrying out their lawful duties. They repeatedly encouraged the complainant to leave and go home, and demonstrated restraint, despite having full authority to arrest him for various LLCA offences and a Criminal Code offence.</p>
